Analyzing and Visualizing Social Networks

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

Céline Yunya Song Hong Kong Baptist University

Background: Network Analysis

SNA has its origins in both social science and in the broader fields of *network analysis* and *graph theory*

Much of the work in advancing SNA's methods has also come from mathematicians, physicists, biologists and computer scientists





Each circle represents a student and lines connecting students represent romantic relations occuring within the 6 months preceding the interview. Numbers under the figure count the number of times that pattern was observed (i.e. we found 63 pairs unconnected to anyone else).

From the American Journal of Sociology, *Vol. 100, No. 1.* "Chains of affection: The structure of adolescent romantic and sexual networks," *Bearman PS, Moody J, Stovel K.*

Noise rock Storecore Neo-psychedelia Storecore neof
Indie rock Indie pop
Space rock
Popirock Noise pop Studge metal Avant-garde metal
Chillwave Electro(music) Doomimetal
Electronic music Avant-garde music Rees Evportmental mucio
Alternative rock
Noise Psybient Betop Drone Music Trance music
Avant-garde
Electroclash Rockabilly Independent music
Experimental rock Folk music Deatherek Post-punk
POST-TOCK Country music Gothic rock
Krautrock New Wave music Rock music
Lin hon Folkrock Fusion (music)
TIP TUP Ambient music Dustrant
Changesting Pronk Funk
Shoegazing World music Progressive rock
Floctronica Ska Province
Big beat Jazz
Downtempo
Lo-fi music
Trip hop



As Nicholas A. Christakis' study shows, obese people tend to be clustered with each other. This isn't too surprising –people like to be friends with similar people or people who are close to them (as the strong triadic closure property suggests).

More interestingly, however, Dr. Christakis provides evidence that obesity can be transmitted through a social network. If a randomly selected person in Dr. Christakis's study has obese friends, it's 45% more likely for that person to be obese as well. In a different study, in which he monitored a network of people for a five-year time series, he concluded that if your friend becomes obese during that five-year interval, it increases your risk of getting obese by at least 57%.

Based on Dr. Christakis's evidence, perhaps clustering of obesity occurs also due to exchange of beliefs / ideas regarding obesity between interconnected people.



Anger, Fear and Sadness Clusters on Weibo



- Figure 1: The giant connected cluster of a network sample with T = 1.
- Closely connected nodes generally share the same color, indicating emotion correlations in the interaction network. Besides, different colors show different clustering patterns (Song et al., 2016).



What is Social Network Analysis?

"Ten master ideas" of social networks (Kadushin,2012)

Interaction and relatedness

Displaying social networks as graphs and diagrams called sociograms.
 Homophily

▷ Triads are the true start of a social system

⊳ Motivation.

▷ Position in the network

⊳Organizational authority

⊳Small world

⊳Diffusion

⊳Social Capital

Basic Terms

⊳Nodes: unit of analysis.

⊳Ties: relation connecting two nodes.

▷ Degree - number of ties





What problems can SNA solve?

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

Node (actor) and system

▷Node level: How does a person's position in a network shape his/her outcomes? •Actor centrality on innovation uptake

⊳System Level: How does the network structure shape outcomes?

•Network density on innovation diffusion

⊳Questions of interest to you?

Strength of Weak Ties (Granovetter, 1973)

• Weak ties are surprisingly valuable because they are more likely to be the source of novel information

Can the Internet buy you more friends?

■150 – this is the number of people with whom we can maintain a meaningful relationship, whether in a hunter-gatherer society or on Facebook



Tie Strength and Social Media

Large lists of friends in socialnetworking tools
How many of these correspond to strong and weak ties?
Tie strengths can provide an important perspective on on-line social activity



Tie Strength on Facebook

stronger

3 categories of links (usage over a 1-month period)
•mutual communication: user both sent and receive messages from the friend
•one-way communication: user sent messages to the friend (regardless if replied)
•maintained relationship: user followed information of the friend (regardless of messages)

•The power of media like Facebook:

-maintained relationships (weak ties) enable passive engagement

- •Weak tie are middle ground between:
- -the strongest ties (mutual communication) and
- -inactive ties (friends only listed)

•Weak ties keep the social network highly connected:

Passive Engagement

Your turn: Imagine a SNA project

⊳Actors of interest to you?

⊳Undirected or directed tie that binds them?

⊳One mode?

>What are some attributes we could collect about the actors?

Getting started

Download and install <u>R from here</u>.

□ Install <u>**R-Studio**</u> from here.

□Then open RStudio.

Workspace (upper left)
 Console (bottom left)
 Environment/History (upper right)
 Files/Plots/Packages/Help/Viewer (bottom right)



Learning R

 \Box <u>Quick-R</u>.

□<u>Hadley Wickham's Advanced R Book</u>.

Using the built-in help system

```
# To get help on the "sum" command
?sum
?"sum"
# Or you can use the help command
help("sum")
# If you aren't sure what the full command is you can search with '
??sum
help.search("sum")
# You can even get help on the help function.
?"?"
# Or help on the "addition" function
?"+"
```

Reach Out to the Community

□The question/answer site <u>StackOverflow</u>

Packages for Network Analysis

General Networks: igraph and sna

- □ Statistical Modeling: statnet, ergm, siena, & relevent
- □ Visualization: igraphtosonia, ndtv, rgexf, & d3network
- □ Other: tnet, egonet, SocialMediaLab

Finding out which is the most central node is important:

It could help disseminating information in the network faster
 It could help stopping epidemics
 It could help protecting the network from breaking

Interpretation of measures



Let's use a bit R

#preparing the artificial network data
 #computing centrality measures

2. #Using social media data collected via tools (NodeXL, Netlytic...)#Computing correlation of centrality measures

1. SETUP

Before we can use the package, remember to install it and load it in the environment, using the "install" and "library" function.

install.packages("igraph") library(igraph)

We can use the "?" to ask R the introduction about the igraph package.

?igraph

We can also go to the igraph website to seek all the information about it.

2. LOAD DATA

We use the twitter search data set which was gathered by nodeXL using the keyword "GMO".

The data contain the interaction relationship between the users whose tweets have the keyword "GMO".

GMO_ad <- read.csv("GMO_adjacency.csv",row.names = 1,header = T) dim(GMO_ad) view(GMO_ad)

g1 <- graph.adjacency(as.matrix(GMO_ad), weighted=NULL, mode = "directed") summary(g1)

IGRAPH DN-- 547 337 -attr: name (v/c)

2. LOAD DATA

 $g_degree <-degree(g1,mode='in')+degree(g1,mode='out')$ V(g1) \$size <- (g_degree^0.5)*2.5 g1 <- delete.vertices(g1, V(g1)[which(g_degree<=1)]) V(g1) \$label <- V(g1) \$name V(g1) [which(g_degree<3)] \$label<-NA V(g1) \$label.dist <- 0.5 E(g1) \$width <- 0.1 E(g1) \$arrow.size <- 0.1 E(g1) \$color<-rgb(0,0.5,0.5)

summary(g1)

IGRAPH DN-- 369 337 --

attr: name (v/c), size (v/n), label (v/c), label.dist (v/n),

width

(e/n), arrow.size (e/n), color (e/c)

2. LOAD DATA

layout1 <- layout.fruchterman.reingold(g1)
plot a gragh using the parameters in the
layout
plot(g1, layout=layout1)</pre>



We will use serval measurement to find the centrality for the network.

- In degree
- Out degree
- In closeness
- Out closeness
- Betweenness
- eigenvector

Indegree centrality

degree(g1,mode='in')
<pre>degree(g1,mode = 'in')[which(degree(g1,mode='in')>5)]</pre>

rachelsnews	hangthebankers	farmfairycrafts	rt_america
9	- 14	7	10
journalneo	gmwatch	junckereu	bartstaes
7	12	9	8
markruffalo	gmoinside	senatortester	farmersweekly
7	12	11	6

Outdegree centrality

degree(g1,mode='out') degree(g1,mode = 'out')[which(degree(g1,mode='out')>5)]

ddelich	dvgandhi1951	gyanta72	marcoax19	the_alex_ryder
7	8	8	9	8

Closeness

In-closeness centrality
closeness(g1,mode='in')[order(closeness(g1,mode='in'))[1:10]]

buckjones_wife	monicamcgee5	mookie	luckykelsey
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06
realchucksloan	thedefinition87	whyitmatters	dacdanny
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06
shoop_park_ebo	japanhealthtips		
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06		

Out-closeness centrality
closeness(g1,mode='out')[order(closeness(g1,mode='out'))[1:10]]

buckjones_wife	annashaw	mookie	X8extremes
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06
rachelsnews	thedefinition87	prpoobah	hangthebankers
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06
shoop_park_ebo	aurorasacoach		
3.348267e-06	3.348267e-06		

Betweenness centrality

betweenness(g1)[order(betweenness(g1),decreasing = T)[1:10]]

		and the second sec	
gmwatch	farmfairycrafts	virginiaincal	X7w1773rs70rm
38	24	4	4
yasikafmjogja	pgoeltz	vivakermani	rosevine3
3	2	2	1
terrangiac	ashwani_mahajan		
- 1	1		

Eigenvector centrality

For these data, we will simply symmetrize to generate an undirected eigenvector centrality score.

V(g1)[order(evcent(as.undirected(g1, mode='collapse'))\$vector,decreasing = T)[1:10]]

Vertex sequence: [1] "gmwatch" "bartstaes" "junckereu" "breakinews" [5] "markruffalo" "gmofreeeurope" "gmobot" "anti__monsanto" [9] "doctorsensation" "yahtsy"

We can get to know the centrality scores directly from the graph.



4. CORRELATIONS BETWEEN CENTRALITY MEASURES

Now we'll compute correlations between the columns to determine how closely these measures of centrality are interrelated.

GMO_index <-order(evcent(as.undirected(g1, mode='collapse'))\$vector,decreasing = T)[1:25] central_GMO <- data.frame(V(g1)\$name[GMO_index], degree(g1,mode = 'in')[GMO_index], degree(g1,mode = 'out')[GMO_index], closeness(g1,mode='in')[GMO_index], closeness(g1,mode='out')[GMO_index], betweenness(g1)[GMO_index], evcent(as.undirected(g1, mode='collapse'))\$vector[GMO_index]) names(central_GMO) <c('name','in_degree','out_degree','in_closeness','out_closeness','betweenness','eigenvector') cor_central_GMO <- cor(central_GMO[2:7]) View(cor_central_GMO)

How Correlated Are Network Centrality Measures?

Thomas W. Valente, Kathryn Coronges, Cynthia Lakon, PhD, and Elizabeth Costenbader

	in_degree 🍦	out_degree 🗘	in_closeness $$	out_closeness \ddagger	betweenness $\hat{}$	eigenvector $\hat{}$
in_degree	1.0000000	-0.4345349	0.8829278	-0.60813751	0.46051881	0.45665421
out_degree	-0.4345349	1.0000000	-0.5231465	0.78358946	0.31378383	0.27103117
in_closeness	0.8829278	-0.5231465	1.000000	-0.64965292	0.23717431	0.49875447
out_closeness	-0.6081375	0.7835895	-0.6496529	1.0000000	0.05041132	-0.05537597
betweenness	0.4605188	0.3137838	0.2371743	0.05041132	1.0000000	0.64225362
eigenvector	0.4566542	0.2710312	0.4987545	-0.05537597	0.64225362	1.0000000